



Lecture 9

Benefit/Cost Ratios



Judging proposed investments

- Benefit/cost ratios are frequently used for government decisions
- Costs accrue to government, but:
 - Benefits frequently accrue to others!
 - Benefits may take on non-monetary forms
 - Some benefits may not be counted!
 - E.g., profits by hospitals due to pollution
 - For some programs, costs exceed benefits!



Judging proposed investments

- For now, we will avoid some of these problems
- In particular, we will assume that:
 - All relevant costs and benefits have been put in [dollar terms](#)



Example

- Consider three choices of new highway:
 - Lifetime = 20 years for all three
 - $i^* = 7\%$



Example

- Option A:
 - Invest **\$110,000**, no salvage value
 - Annual maintenance cost = \$35,000/year
 - User “costs” (travel time) are:
 - \$210,000/year in year 1
 - Increasing by \$10,000/year until year 10
 - (due to increasing congestion)
 - Constant at \$300,000/year until year 20



Example

- Option B:
 - Invest **\$700,000**, salvage value = \$300K
 - Annual maintenance cost = \$21,000/year
 - User “costs” (travel time) are:
 - \$157,500/year in year 1
 - Increasing by \$7,500/year until year 10
 - (due to increasing congestion)
 - Constant at \$225,000/year until year 20



Example

- Option C:
 - Invest **\$1,300,000**, salvage value = \$550K
 - Annual maintenance cost = \$17,000/year
 - User “costs” (travel time) are:
 - \$136,500/year in year 1
 - Increasing by \$6,500/year until year 10
 - (due to increasing congestion)
 - Constant at \$195,000/year until year 20



Example

- To government, option C is more costly:
 - Savings go to *someone else!*

Example

	Option A	Option B	Option C
	Present worth (\$ million)		
Total	3.304	2.962	3.173
Government	0.481	0.845	1.338
User	2.823	2.117	1.835
	Equivalent annual cost (\$ thousand)		
	311.9	279.7	299.5
	Incremental rate of return		
	12.8% (B-A)		3% (C-B)

- Note that present worth and equivalent annual cost have same ratios:
 - E.g., $3.304/2.962 = 311.9/279.7 = 1.115$



Note

- Benefits minus costs:
 - What is a benefit?
 - Reduction in user costs
 - Both must be in equivalent terms
 - (Either present worth or annual equivalent)



Example

- Benefits minus costs
 - A - B:
 - Benefit (reduction in user costs)
 - = \$2.823 million_A - \$2.117 million_B = \$706,000
 - Cost (increase in government costs)
 - = \$0.845 million_B - \$0.481 million_A = \$364,000
 - Net benefit = \$706,000 - \$364,000
 - = \$342,000



Example

- Benefits minus costs
 - **B - C:**
 - Benefit
 - = \$2.117 million_B - \$1.835 million_C = \$282,000
 - Cost
 - = \$1.338 million_C - \$0.845 million_B = \$493,000
 - **Net benefit = \$282,000 - \$493,000**
 - = **-\$211,000**



Note

- If we take *differences*,
 - It doesn't matter whether we count savings as:
 - A positive benefit
 - or
 - A reduction in cost
- If the Corps of Engineers builds a dam,
 - This reduces FEMA costs (cost or benefit?)



Note

- If we take *ratios* of benefit to cost,
 - It *does* matter how we count savings:
 - A positive benefit?

or

 - A reduction in cost?



Example

- **A vs. B:**
 - Benefit = \$706,000
 - Cost = \$364,000
 - Ratio = $\$706,000/\$364,000 = 1.94 > 1$
- **B vs. C:**
 - Benefit = \$282,000
 - Cost = \$493,000
 - Ratio = $\$282,000/\$493,000 = 0.57 < 1$



Example

- What if we consider maintenance cost reductions a benefit instead of a cost?
- In present worth terms, maintenance is:
 - \$371,000 for option **A**
 - \$223,000 for option **B**
 - \$180,000 for option **C**



Example

- **A vs. B:**

- Benefit = $\$706,000 + (\$371,000 - \$223,000)$
- Cost = $\$364,000 + (\$371,000 - \$223,000)$
 - Ratio = $\$854,000 / \$512,000 = 1.67$ vs. 1.94

- **B vs. C:**

- Benefit = $\$282,000 + (\$223,000 - \$180,000)$
- Cost = $\$493,000 + (\$223,000 - \$180,000)$
 - Ratio = $\$324,000 / \$536,000 = 0.61$ vs. 0.57



Note

- Adding/subtracting a constant amount to the numerator and denominator:
 - Cannot change whether ratio is > 1 or < 1
 - If $a/b < 1$, then $(a+c)/(b+c) < 1$
 - But *can* change which ratio is bigger!
 - Problem unless definition of benefits is clear!!!
- This is not a problem with *differences*,
 - But benefit/cost *ratios* are more popular!



Another example

- **A vs. B:** Increased capital cost = \$150
 - User benefit = \$150
 - Reduced maintenance = \$100
- **A vs. C:** Increased capital cost = \$125
 - User benefit = \$200
 - Reduced maintenance = \$25



Another example

- Ratio = Benefit/(Capital-Maintenance)
 - A vs. B: $\$150/(\$150-\$100) = 3$
 - A vs. C: $\$200/(\$125-\$25) = 2$
 - Option B looks better than option C
- Ratio = (Benefit+Maintenance)/Capital
 - A vs. B: $(\$150+\$100)/\$150 = 1.67$
 - A vs. C: $(\$200+\$25)/\$125 = 1.8$
 - Option C looks better than option B!!!



Another example

- What is wrong?
 - As with IRR, need to evaluate each incremental investment!
- **A vs. C:** Increased capital cost = \$125
 - User benefit = \$200
 - Reduced maintenance = \$25
 - Benefit/cost ratio of 2 or 1.8 is > 1
 - So option **C** is better than option **A**



Another example

- Now consider the more costly option, **B**
- **C vs. B**: Increased capital cost = \$25
 - *Decreased* user benefit = -\$50
 - Reduced maintenance cost = \$75
 - Benefit/cost ratio of $(\$75 - \$50) / (\$25) = 1$
- So options **B** and **C** are equally desirable
 - But comparing against **A** can be misleading



More realistic example

- Compare three flood-control options:
 - All with 50 year lifetime
(under the assumption of $i^* = 6\%$)
- Option **C** causes environmental damage
 - E.g., damage to salmon fisheries
- Is this a cost of the dam?
 - Or a reduction in its benefit???

More realistic example

	Option A	Option B	Option C
Expected annual flood damage	\$480,000	\$105,000	\$55,000
Annual maintenance cost	\$0	\$35,000	\$40,000
Investment	\$0	\$2.9 million	\$5.3 million
Annual damage caused by dam	\$0	\$0	\$38,000
Expected annual <u>total damage</u>	\$480,000	\$105,000	\$55,000+\$38,000 = \$93,000
Equivalent annual <u>dam cost</u>	\$0	\$219,000	\$376,000



More realistic example

- **A vs. B:**

- Benefit = $\$480,000 - \$105,000 = \$375,000$
- Cost = $\$219,000$
 - Net benefit = $\$375,000 - \$219,000 = \$156,000$

- **B vs. C:**

- Benefit = $\$105,000 - \$93,000 = \$12,000$
- Cost = $\$376,000 - \$219,000 = \$157,000$
 - Net benefit = $\$12,000 - \$157,000 = -\$145,000$



More realistic example

- **A vs. B:**

- Benefit = $\$480,000 - \$105,000 = \$375,000$
- Cost = $\$219,000$
 - Ratio = $\$375,000/\$219,000 = 1.71 > 1$

- **B vs. C:**

- Benefit = $\$105,000 - \$93,000 = \$12,000$
- Cost = $\$376,000 - \$219,000 = \$157,000$
 - Ratio = $\$12,000/\$157,000 = 0.08 < 1$



More realistic example

- What if damage to salmon fisheries is treated as a cost of the dam?
 - Instead of reduction in flood control benefit
- **B vs. C:**
 - Benefit = \$12,000 + \$38,000 = \$50,000
 - Cost = \$157,000 + \$38,000 = \$195,000
 - Ratio = \$50,000/\$195,000 = 0.26, *not 0.08!*
 - Still less than 1, so don't choose option **C**



Review

- What is the single most important pitfall to avoid when using **present worth** to compare projects?
- What is the single most important pitfall to avoid when using **internal rate of return** to compare projects?
- What is the single most important pitfall to avoid when using **benefit/cost ratio** to compare projects?