

ON THE HK COMPLETIONS OF SEQUENCE SPACES

Abduallah Hakawati¹, K. Snyder²

ملخص

إذا جهز فضاء المتسلسلات المنتهية ϕ بالمعيار الذي ينحدر اليه بواسطة مصفوفة هرميشية مؤكدة الايجابية وكانت هذه المصفوفة مكونة من قوالب مربعة الحجم ومنتشرة بشكل متماثل على القطر الرئيسي فان متممة من نوع HK تكون موجودة

ABSTRACT

If the space ϕ (the finite sequences) is equipped with the norm which is naturally induced by a positive definite Hermitian and diagonally blockwise constructed matrix, then an HK completion exists.

1. Preliminaries:

An HK space is a Hilbert space of sequences on which coordinate projections are all continuous.

An FK space is a linear topological space of sequences which is a locally convex Frechet space with continuous coordinate projections.

A BK space is the special case of the foregoing in which the Frechet space is a Banach space.

¹ Department of Mathematics, An-Najah National University/Nablus - West Bank.

² Department of Mathematics, Lehigh University/Bethlehem, Pa. 18015 U.S.A.

An AD space is an FK space in which ϕ is dense.

Throughout this paper, ω will stand for the collection of all complex sequences, C for the complex numbers, and ℓ^2 will denote the space of all members of ω which are square summable.

i.e. $\ell^2 = \{x \in \omega / \sum_i |x_i|^2 = \|x\|_{\ell^2}^2 < \infty\}$.

Let, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, e^n be the sequence defined as:

$$e_k^n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and finally, let M be the set of all infinite matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ which are Hermitian and positive definite.

For $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e^k \in \phi$ and $A \in M$, define the norm $\|x\|_A$ which is

induced by A as :

$$\|x\|_A^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_i a_{ij} \bar{x}_j$$

This, of course, makes $(\phi, \|\cdot\|_A)$ a normed sequence space. In this paper, we present sufficient conditions for this space to have an HK completion.

2. The continuity of coordinate projections:

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we define the n^{th} coordinate projection P_n as :

$$P_n(x) = x_n \quad (x \in \omega).$$

With $A \in M$, the HK definition requires that P_n be continuous on $(\phi, \|\cdot\|_A)$ for each n . One needs the following.

2.1 Continuity Criterion ⁽¹⁾, 4.0.3): If X is a linear topological space with topology determined by a family P of seminorms, then the linear functional f is continuous on X iff there exists an $s > 0$ and q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n selected from P such that

$$|f(x)| \leq s \sum_{k=1}^n q_k(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

In the present setting, $P = \{\|\cdot\|_A\}$; and the following example shows a case where P_1 is not continuous on $(\phi, \|\cdot\|_A)$.

2.2 Example :

For each n , let $E^n = \{x \in \omega : x_k = 0 \text{ for all } k > n\}$. Consider the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ where :

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j=1 \\ 5 & \text{if } i=j>1 \\ -2 & \text{if } |i-j|=1 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

A is clearly Hermitian. As for the positive definiteness:

Let $x \neq 0$ be an arbitrary element in E^n . We may assume that $x_n \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x\|_A &= x^* A x \\
 &= \{\bar{x}_1 - 2\bar{x}_2, -2\bar{x}_1 + 5\bar{x}_2 - 2\bar{x}_3, -2\bar{x}_2 + 5\bar{x}_3 - 2\bar{x}_4, \dots, \\
 &\quad -2\bar{x}_{n-1} + 5\bar{x}_n\}x. \\
 &= |x_1|^2 - 2x_1\bar{x}_2 - 2\bar{x}_1x_2 + 5|x_2|^2 - 2x_2\bar{x}_3 - 2\bar{x}_2x_3 + \\
 &\quad 5|x_3|^2 - \dots + 5|x_{n-1}|^2 - 2x_{n-1}\bar{x}_n - 2\bar{x}_{n-1}x_n + 5|x_n|^2. \\
 &= |x_1|^2 - 2x_1\bar{x}_2 - \overline{2x_1\bar{x}_2} + 5|x_2|^2 - \overline{2x_2\bar{x}_3} - \overline{2\bar{x}_2x_3} + \\
 &\quad 5|x_3|^2 - \dots + 5|x_{n-1}|^2 - \overline{2x_{n-1}\bar{x}_n} - \overline{2\bar{x}_{n-1}x_n} + 5|x_n|^2. \\
 &= (|x_1|^2 - 4\operatorname{Re}(x_1\bar{x}_2) + 4|x_2|^2) + (|x_2|^2 - 4\operatorname{Re}(x_2\bar{x}_3) \\
 &\quad + 4|x_3|^2) + \dots + (|x_{n-1}|^2 - 4\operatorname{Re}(x_{n-1}\bar{x}_n) + 4|x_n|^2) + \\
 &\quad |x_n|^2. \\
 &= |x_1 - 2x_2|^2 + |x_2 - 2x_3|^2 + \dots + |x_{n-1} - 2x_n|^2 + |x_n|^2 \\
 &> 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A \in M$.

For $k \geq 2$, choose $x_k = \frac{x_{k-1}}{2}$ to get $x_n = \frac{x_1}{2^{n-1}}$, and no one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x\|_A^2 &= |x_n|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|x_1|^2}{2^{2n-2}}, \text{ or:} \\
 &= \frac{|x_1|^2}{2^{2n-2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$|x_1|^2 = 2^{2n-2} \|x\|_A^2.$$

Now, (2.1) implies that P_1 is not continuous on $(\phi, \|\cdot\|_A)$

We now proceed to establish sufficient conditions for coordinate projections to be continuous. We first make the

2.3 Lemma :

For the $n \times n$ positive definite matrix A , let $\lambda = \min \{ \lambda_k : \lambda_k \text{ is an eigen value of } A \}$. It is then known that, for $x \in E^n$,

$$x^* A x \geq \lambda \|x\|^2 \text{ where } \|x\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^2.$$

Proof: Let U be a unitary matrix which diagonalizes A . Let $x = Uy$ for some $y \in E^n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } x^* A x &= y^* AUy \\ &= y^* Dy \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |y_k|^2 \text{ where } D = \text{diag} (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

since U is unitary, $\|x\| = \|y\|$ and so the assertion follows. // with this at hand, it is now possible to prove.

2.4 Theorem :

If $A \in M$ has the form $A = \text{diag} (A_1, A_2, \dots)$ where, for each k , A_k is an $S_k \times S_k$ matrix, then for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ P_n is continuous on $(\phi, \|\cdot\|_A)$.

Proof : It is clear that, for each k , A_k is Hermetian and positive definite.

For each k , define the matrix $A'_k = \text{diag} (A_k, 0,0, ..)$, and the matrix $B_k = \text{diag} (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k, 0, 0, \dots)$.

Fix n , let $\lambda = \min \{ \lambda_i; \lambda_i \text{ is an eigen value of } B_i \}$, and for $x \in \phi$ let $\| x \|$ be as in (2.3).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } |x_n|^2 &\leq \| x \|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \| x \|^2_{B_n} \text{ by (2.3)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^n \| x \|^2_{A_k} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \| x \|^2_{A_k} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \| x \|^2_A
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), P_n is continuous on $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_A)$.

It is now our objective to show that this matrix diagonal blockwise construction also solves the existence problem. The existing completions are to be shown unique and of desired form.

As for the uniqueness question, the next well-known lemma takes care of it.

2.5 Lemma : let X and Y be two BK spaces. If S is a dense subspace of X and of Y such that $\|x\|_X = \|x\|_Y$ for all $x \in S$, then $X = Y$.

Proof : Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Choose a sequence $\{x^n\} \subset S$ with $x^n \rightarrow x$ in X . The sequence $\{x^n\}$ is then a Cauchy sequence in X and so, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer N for which $\|x^m - x^n\|_X < \epsilon$ whenever $m, n \geq N$. But this says that $\|x^m - x^n\|_Y < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq N$. Therefore, $\{x^n\}$ is Cauchy in Y , hence converges to some $y \in Y$.

Now, $x^n \rightarrow x \in X$; so, for each k , $x_k^n \rightarrow x_k$ (in C). Also, $x^n \rightarrow y \in Y$; so, for each k , $x_k^n \rightarrow y_k$ (in C), implying that $x = y$, thus $X \subset Y$. //

Luckily enough, inner product spaces transfer their inner products to their completions. But the problem is that a completion of a specific type may not exist, and this is actually the existence problem being considered here.

2.6 Proposition : Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space, and K a completion of X , then K is an inner product space.

Proof : First of all, one has to note that a completion always exists. Take for example the closure of X in its second dual under the canonical embedding.

For $x, y \in K$, define :

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x^n, y^n \rangle_x \text{ where, } \{x^n\} \subseteq X, \{y^n\} \subseteq X \text{ are two}$$

sequences converging respectively, to x , and y . This inner product is well defined, indeed;

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle x^m, y^m \rangle - \langle x^n, y^n \rangle | = \langle x^m, y^m \rangle + \langle x^m, y^n \rangle - \langle x^m, y^n \rangle - \langle x^n, y^n \rangle \\ & \leq | \langle x^m, y^m \rangle - \langle x^m, y^n \rangle | + | \langle x^m, y^n \rangle - \langle x^n, y^n \rangle | \\ & \leq \| x^m \| \| y^m - y^n \| + \| y^n \| \| x^m - x^n \| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So the sequence $\{\langle x^n, y^n \rangle\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} , hence converges to a unique limit. //

As it was remarked earlier, turning ϕ into an inner product space does not necessarily force an FK completion to exist. The following example shows this claim.

2.7 Example : Suppose that $A \in M$ makes coordinate projections continuous on $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_A)$. For $x \in \phi$, let.

$\| x \| = (\| x \|_A^2 + |f(x)|^2)^{1/2}$ where f is a non-continuous linear functional on $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_A)$. [f exists since ϕ is infinite dimensional; see example 3.3.14 of [2]]. We claim that the space $(\phi, \| \cdot \|)$ is an inner product space which is continuously embedded in ω , but has no FK completion.

To prove, we need the following

2.8 Lemma : (special case of theorem 3.11 p. 80 of [3]):

Let X be a linear metric space of sequences on which P_n is continuous

for all n . Then X has an FK completion iff for every Cauchy sequence $\{x^n\} \subset X$ which converges to zero pointwise, we have $x^n \rightarrow 0$ in X .

We can now prove our claim.

Note first that the norm $\| \cdot \|$ is given by the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle_A + \overline{f(x)} f(y)$.

Define the matrix $B = (b_{nk})$ as:

$$b_{nk} = \langle e^n, e^k \rangle$$

So, the norm $\| \cdot \| = \| \cdot \|_B$.

Now, $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_B)$ is continuously embedded in ω (Fix n , and let $x \in \phi$ be arbitrary.

$$|x_n| \leq s \|x\|_A \text{ for some } s > 0 \text{ by (2.1)}$$

$$\leq s \|x\|_B \text{ by the construction of } \| \cdot \|_B.$$

But $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_B)$ has no FK completion [The set $\{x \in \phi : f(x) = 1\}$ is dense in $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_A)$ by example (3.2) page (81) of [3]]. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\{x^n\} \subset \phi$ with $f(x^n) = 1$ for all n , and

$$\|x^n\|_A \rightarrow 0$$

Finally, $\{x^n\}$ is Cauchy in $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_B)$ since, $\|x^m - x^n\|_B = (\|x^m - x^n\|_A^2 + |f(x^m - x^n)|^2)^{1/2} = \|x^m - x^n\|_A$, $x^n \rightarrow 0$ in ω , but $\|x^n\| \rightarrow 1 \neq 0$. Our claim now follows from lemma 2.8. //

Turning to the existence problem; it is essential to recall the following.

2.9 Remarks :

(a) ⁽⁴¹⁾, 7.1.3) : A finite dimensional inner product space is a Hilbert space.

(b) ⁽⁵¹⁾, page 80) : If (H_n) is a sequence of Hilbert spaces, then the direct sum $\oplus_n H_n$ is the Hilbert space H of all sequences $\{x^n : x^n \in H_n\}$ such that the sequence $\{\|x^n\|_{H_n}\} \in \ell^2$. Addition, scalar multiplication and inner product are defined on H as follows:

For $x = \{x^n\}$, $y = \{y^n\} \in H$, and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,
 define $x + y = \{x^n + y^n\}$,
 $\alpha x = \{\alpha x^n\}$ and
 $\langle x, y \rangle_H = \sum_n \langle x^n, y^n \rangle_{H_n}$.

Consider the matrix A of (2.4)

For each n , let:

$$r_n = \sum_{k=1}^n S_k,$$

$\phi_n = \{x \in \phi : x_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \text{ except, possibly, for } r_{n-1} < k < r_n\}$,
 $A_n = \text{diag}(0,0, \dots, 0, A_n, 0,0, \dots)$ where the zero-block appears $(r_n - r_{n-1})$ - times before the block A_n , and let $H_n = (\phi_n, \|\cdot\|_{A_n})$.

By remark (2.9), H is a Hilbert space of sequences, and by its very construction, H has AD.

With all this at hand, theorem (2.4), now, easily and clearly implies the

2.10 Theorem (main result) :

Suppose that A is an infinite matrix which is Hermitian and positive definite. If A has the form

$A = \text{diag} (A_1, A_2, \dots)$ where, for each n , A_n is an $S_n \times S_n$ matrix, then the normed sequence space $(\phi, \| \cdot \|_A)$ has an HK completion which has the AD property.

Proof : Done already. //

REFERENCES

1. A. Wilansky, "Summability Through Functional Analysis", North Holland - N.Y. 1984.
2. _____, "Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces" 1978.
3. _____, "Topics in Functional Analysis", Springer-verlag, N.Y. 1967.
4. _____, "Functional Analysis", 1964.
5. A. Mukherjed and K. Pothoven, "Real and Functional Analysis", 1978,