

## Susceptibility of *Candida albicans* isolates to Terbinafine and Ketoconazole

Ghaleb Adwan\*, Yousef Salameh, Kamel Adwan  
Department of Biology and Biotechnology, An-Najah N. University,  
P. O. Box (7)-Nablus, Palestine

\* Corresponding author

Fax: +970-9-2347488

e-mail: [adwang@najah.edu](mailto:adwang@najah.edu)

**Abstract:** The prevalence of drug resistance has become an important issue in various yeast infections, which have a significant effects on both human animal health. In this study, an attempt has been made to determine susceptibility pattern of two antifungal agents Terbinafine and Ketoconazole against 45 oral and non oral *Candida albicans* isolates using broth microdilution method. Under in vitro conditions, results showed that (42/45) 93% of the *C. albicans* isolates had MIC values indicating susceptibility to Ketoconazole ( $\leq 0.125$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) and MICs ranged from  $\leq 0.03125$ -8.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . According to Terbinafine, (40/45) 88.9% of isolates had MICs less than 4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and MICs ranged from 0.25-8.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . This is the first report of in vitro antifungal susceptibility data to be published from Palestine against clinical isolates of *Candida albicans*. Availability of sensitive and highly accurate antifungal susceptibility testing methods, can permit analysis of data in vitro and with outcome in vivo, important to assist physician for making appropriate drug choices and patient management decision. These data indicated that Terbinafine and Ketoconazole are still active against *C. albicans* and may therefore have clinical applications against some of these organisms.

**Key words:** *C. albicans*, Antifungal agents, Terbinafine, Ketoconazole, MIC.

**المخلص:** إن انتشار مقاومة الدواء بين الكائنات الحية المهجرية تعتبر مسألة في غاية الأهمية بالنسبة للالتهابات الفطرية، والتي أصبح لها تأثيرات سلبية كبيرة على صحة الإنسان والحيوان. في هذه الدراسة، فقد تم تحديد نمط الحساسية لاثنتين من العلاجات الفطرية هما تريبنافين و كيتوكونازول ضد 45 عزلة من المبيضة البيضاء (*Candida albicans*) الفموية وغير الفموية وذلك باستخدام طريقة التخفيف الدقيق المتسلسل (Broth Microdilution Method). أظهرت تجارب المختبر أن 93% (42/45) من عزلات المبيضة البيضاء كانت قيم تركيز الحد الأدنى المثبط لها (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)) تشير إلى حساسية المبيضة البيضاء

لكيتوكونازول ( $\geq 0.125$  ميكروغرام/مل) ، وتراوحت قيم تركيز الحد الأدنى المثبط بين  $\geq 0.03125$  -8.0 ميكروغرام / مل. أما بالنسبة لتريبنافين فإن 88.9% (40/45) من عزلات المبيضة البيضاء كانت قيم تركيز الحد الأدنى المثبط لها أقل من 4 ميكروغرام / مل وتراوحت قيم تركيز الحد الأدنى المثبط بين 0.25 - 8.0 ميكروغرام / مل. يعتبر هذا هو أول تقرير يتم نشره من فلسطين عن حساسية عزلات سريرية من المبيضة البيضاء. إن توافر طرق حساسة ودقيقة للغاية لفحص اختبار الحساسية، يساعد الطبيب على اختيار العلاج المناسب و مراقبة حالة المريض بشكل صحيح. وأشارت النتائج إلى أن المضادان تريبنافين و كيتوكونازول لا يزالان علاجان فعالان ضد المبيضة البيضاء.

**الكلمات الدالة:** المبيضة البيضاء، المضادات الفطرية، تريبنافين، كيتوكونازول، تركيز الحد الأدنى المثبط.

### **Introduction:**

Recently, several new antifungal agents have become important and available for both the topical and systemic treatment of fungal infections. Most antifungal drugs have adverse side effects, broad prophylactic usages and long-term treatments with those agents become ineffective against some fungi, and lead to development of resistance. The prevalence of drug resistance has become a serious issue in various yeast infections, which has a significant effects on human health [1,2].

*Candida albicans* is considered one of the most frequently implicated pathogen, causing localized, invasive or disseminated disease in normal or immunocompromised hosts, promoted by the use of broad spectrum antibiotic, steroids or other immunosuppressive drugs, diabetes mellitus, AIDS, cancer chemotherapy and organ transplantation etc. *Candida* infection is involving every part of the body and is considered the fourth most prevalent organism found in blood stream infections. *C. albicans* represents more than 80% of isolates recovered from clinical infection [3,4]. In addition to that, rarely other *Candida* species can cause infection. The importance of *Candida* species is not only due to the severity of their infections but also due to their ability to develop resistance against antifungal drugs. In vitro antifungal susceptibility testing plays an increasingly important role in guiding the selection of antifungal therapy, as an aid in drug development studies, and to detect shifts toward resistance as early as possible in epidemiologic studies [5-7]. Although *Candida* species have various degrees of susceptibility to frequently used antifungal agents, antifungal resistance is rare [8]. Antifungal susceptibility

## **Susceptibility of *Candida albicans* isolates**

testing is not routinely done in Palestine as well as in other countries, mainly due to economic resources are very limited and low demand. By performing antifungal susceptibility testing, this will avoid unnecessary usage of antifungal agents and more importantly, the patients do not have to bear the unnecessary side effects or toxicity of these antifungal agents. The changing epidemiology of *Candida* infections and the increase in serious fungal infections, the emergence of resistant *Candida* strains and the availability of new antifungal agents can assist in their clinical use, may influence the choice of antifungal agents for the patients [9]. In this study, an attempt has been made to determine susceptibility pattern of two antifungal agents (Terbinafine and Ketoconazole) against 45 oral and non oral *Candida albicans* isolates using broth microdilution method.

### **Materials and Methods:**

#### ***Candida albicans* isolates:**

A total of forty-five clinical isolates of *C. albicans* were recovered from various clinical specimens during the 2008-2009. The specimens used in this study included 27 oral isolates while the rest of the non-oral isolates. These isolates were identified by phenotypic characteristics. *C. albicans* isolates were differentiated from other *Candida* and *Cryptococcus* species by their ability to grow on the Levine formula of EMB agar and to produce germ tubes within 3 hours, and pseudohyphae and budding cells at 18-24 hours when incubated at 35°C in 5-10% CO<sub>2</sub>. The addition of tetracycline to the Levine formulation aids in the selection of *C. albicans* from clinical sources that are contaminated with bacteria. A reference strain (*C. albicans* ATCC 10231) was also included.

#### **Antifungal Susceptibility Testing**

Antifungal susceptibility of *C. albicans* isolates was tested by the broth microdilution technique with endpoints read at 48 hours as standardized by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), formerly the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards [10]. Antifungal agents including Terbinafine and Ketoconazole were used -as commercially supplied- to prepare solutions of a concentration 128 µg/ml. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma) buffered to pH 7.0 with 0.165 M morpholinopropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) buffer (Sigma). Determination of MIC was performed in 96-wells microtiter plates, which were inoculated with 1 x 10<sup>4</sup>/ml of *C. albicans* isolates and incubated at 35°C for 48 h. The final concentrations of the antifungal agents were 64 to 0.03125 µg/ml

for all antifungal agents. Control wells drug-free were also included in the study. All the testing was done in duplicates.

**Results:**

A total of 45 isolates were analyzed for their susceptibilities to Ketoconazole and Terbinafine. In-vitro susceptibility data for all isolates tested against these two antifungal agents are summarized in Table 1. Ketoconazole was highly active, our results showed that (42/45) 93% of the *C. albicans* isolates had MIC values indicating susceptibility to Ketoconazole ( $\leq 0.125$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) and MICs ranged from  $\leq 0.03125$ -8.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . According to Terbinafine no specific breakpoints proposed, but (40/45) 88.9% of isolates had MICs less than 4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and MICs ranged from 0.25-8.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . The geometric mean MIC values were  $\leq 0.054$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and 2.52  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for Ketoconazole and Terbinafine, respectively. *C. albicans* ATCC 10231 had MICs  $\leq 0.03125$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and 16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  against Ketoconazole and Tterbinafine, respectively.

**Table 1. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) and Geometric Mean Values of 45 *C. albicans* isolates to Terbinafine and Ketoconazole.**

Antifungal agent	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )						Geometric mean MIC values ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )	Total isolate
	$\leq 0.03125$	0.0625	0.125	1.0	4.0	8.0		
Ketoconazole	$\leq 0.03125$ N= 31	0.0625 N=6	0.125 N=5	1.0 N=1	4.0 N=1	8.0 N=1	$\leq 0.054$	45
Terbinafine	0.25 N=2	0.5 N=3	1.0 N=2	2.0 N=14	4.0 N=19	8.0 N=5	2.52	45

**Discussion:**

Prolonged or repeated exposure to antifungal drugs may be associated with the emergence of antifungal resistance among strains of *C. albicans*. The determination of the in vitro susceptibility may prove helpful to predict the ability of a given antifungal agent to eradicate Candidal isolates. In our work, the evaluation of in vitro susceptibility showed that the antifungal drugs tested (Ketoconazole and Terbinafine) displayed a high activity against the *C. albicans* isolates. It is worth mentioning that both Ketoconazole and Terbinafin had low MIC and geometric mean MIC values. These low MICs found for the these two drugs can help to explain the promising results obtained for the treatment of *C. albicans* with these antifungal agents.

## Susceptibility of *Candida albicans* isolates

Terbinafine is the only systemic allylamine antifungal agent currently available, which presents a potent in vitro activity against a number of filamentous and dimorphic fungi. Some researchers have proposed the application of this agent against *Candida* yeasts [11]. Although, its capacity to inhibit yeasts is still controversial. Clinically relevant interpretive breakpoints are not currently available for Terbinafine, but in our study no isolates with higher MICs ( $>8$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) was observed. Our results showed that geometric mean MIC value for Terbinafine against *C. albicans* isolates is consistent with previous report [12], which showed that geometric mean MIC value for Terbinafine against *C. albicans* was 2.83  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . These results were in agreement to reports published previously [11,13], who obtained good results for Terbinafine against *Candida* spp. isolates. However, these results are in contrast to a previous report [12], who showed that Terbinafine had high MIC values for all *Candida* spp. evaluated (MIC<sub>90</sub>  $\geq 64.0$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). Patients in previous study might have a history of antifungal use or ever unknown the name or function of a medicine prescribed.

Earlier susceptibility testing of Terbinafine against yeasts showed poor activity [15,16], while more recent studies have shown that this drug is clearly effective in inhibiting yeasts [12,13,17]. This is not a surprise due to wide differences existed in the methodologies used to evaluate in vitro activity of Terbinafine by earlier researchers [11,12]. In contrast to earlier studies, the NCCLS macrodilution assay shows reproducible in vitro data for Terbinafine against *Candida* and other yeasts [10]. Interestingly, in the present study the MICs of Terbinafine against *C. albicans* were much lower than those obtained by earlier workers [18-21]. They reported high MICs around 25  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for *C. albicans*, while in the present study, MIC has ranged from 0.03125–8.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for *C. albicans*. Our results were consistent with that reported previously [12], which showed that MIC has ranged from 0.03125–4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for *C. albicans*. This may be likely due to using medium is buffered at neutral pH, which provide good results for Terbinafine. While earlier assays used unbuffered media which are rapidly acidified by *Candida* spp., led to the recording of high MIC values which means that the Terbinafine is much less active at low pH [22,23]. So it was suggested that buffer MOPS should be added to the culture medium since Terbinafine is less effective under low pH conditions as an essential prerequisite for testing antifungal activity against yeasts. The in vitro activity of Terbinafine against *C. albicans* is thus of interest with regard to potential

clinical efficacy. Clinical studies have shown that topical and oral Terbinafine formulations are active against cutaneous candidiasis and Candida nail infections [13,24].

According to Ketoconazole, our results were inconsistent with studies carried out previously [25-27]. It was shown that 80.3% (57/71) of *C. albicans* isolates were sensitive to Ketoconazole (MIC  $\leq$  0.03-4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) and 19.7% (14/71) were intermediate susceptibility (MIC 16-32  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) to Ketoconazole [25]. *C. albicans* isolated from blood culture of cancer patients showed 28/56 (50%) of isolates were sensitive (MIC  $\leq$  0.125-4), 22/58 (39.3%) were intermediate (MIC 16-32) and 6/58 (10.7%) were resistant (MIC  $\geq$  64) against Ketoconazole [26]. In other study it was found that MIC<sub>90</sub> of Ketoconazole for all *C. albicans* isolated from the oral cavities of AIDS patients were  $>32$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  with a range from 0.064- $>32$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  [27], this high resistance may be explained by the phenomenon of cross-resistance observed among the family of azole compound. Besides, the selection of resistant isolates to azoles would be explained by the long term of azoles in the prophylaxis therapy for patients with AIDS or other immunosuppressive diseases. Our results were in accordance with the previous results which showed that 93% of *C. albicans* were sensitive to Ketoconazole [28]. In recent study, all isolates were susceptible in both groups; isolates from HIV-infected patients showed MIC values between 0.03 - 4.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  while the MIC values ranged from 0.03-0.25  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for the isolates from control patients [29]. Also it was found that 88% of *C. albicans* isolates were sensitive to ketoconazole [9]. Our result was also in agreement with results reported previously [30], these results showed that 90% of *C. albicans* were susceptible to Ketoconazole and had MIC  $<0.125$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ).

The in vitro susceptibility of *C. albicans* to Tterbinafine and Ketoconazole has already been described by different investigators [12,17]. However, there is no information available from Palestine, in this respect as ecology of Candida species and the epidemiology of candidiasis are different in many parts of the world, it is important to know whether these differences also reflect variations in susceptibilities to antifungal agents such as Terbinafine an Ketoconazole [12,17]. This is the first report of in vitro antifungal susceptibility data to be published from Palestine against clinical isolates of *C. albicans*. Therefore investigations concerning its antifungal activities in vivo against such organism should be pursued. So availability of sensitive and highly accurate antifungal susceptibility testing methods, can permit analysis of data in vitro and with

## **Susceptibility of *Candida albicans* isolates**

outcome in vivo, important to assist physician for making appropriate drug choices and patient management decision. These data indicated that Terbinafine and Ketoconazole are still active against *C. albicans* and may therefore have clinical applications against some of these organisms.

### **References:**

- [1] Yang Y.L., Wang A.H., Wang C.W., Cheng W.T., Li S.Y., Lo H.J., and TSARY Hospitals. 2008 - Susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species in Taiwan Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance of Yeasts 2006. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*, Vol. 61, No. 2, P:175-180.
- [2] Yang Y.L., Ho Y.A., Cheng H.H., Ho M., and Lo H.J. 2004 - Susceptibilities of *Candida* species to amphotericin B and fluconazole: the emergence of fluconazole resistance in *Candida tropicalis*. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, Vol. 25, P :60–64.
- [3] Edmond M.B., Wallace S.E., and Mc Clish D.K.1999 - Nosocomial blood stream infection in United States hospitals, 3-year analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*, Vol. 29, P:239–244.
- [4] Rodriguez-Tudela J.L., and Martinez-suarez J.V. 1995 - Correlation of in vitro susceptibility test results with in vivo response; a study of azole therapy in AIDS patients. *J. Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, Vol. 35, P :793–804
- [5] Shokohi T., Bandalizadeh Z., Hedayati M.T., and Mayahi S. 2011- In vitro antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* species isolated from oropharyngeal lesions of patients with cancer to some antifungal agents. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*, 4(Supplement 1): S19-S26.
- [6] Kanafani Z.A., and Perfect J.R. 2008 - Antimicrobial resistance: resistance to antifungal agents: mechanisms and clinical impact. *Clin Infect Dis*, Vol. 46, P:120-128.
- [7] Pfaller M.A., Diekema D.J., and Sheehan DJ. 2006 - Interpretive breakpoints for fluconazole and *Candida* revisited: a blueprint for the future of antifungal susceptibility testing. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, Vol. 19, P:435-447.
- [8] Iatta R., Caggiano G., Cuna T., and Montagna M.T. 2011 - Antifungal susceptibility testing of a 10-year collection of *Candida* spp. isolated from patients with candidemia. *J Chemother*, Vol. 23, No. 2, P:92-96.

- [9] Tzar M.N., and Shamim AS. 2009 - Candidaemia and antifungal susceptibility testing in a Teaching Hospital. *Med J Malaysia*, Vol. 64, No. 1, P :61-64.
- [10] National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeast. M27-A. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, PA, 1997;Vol. 17, No. 9, P:1-18.
- [11] Jessup C.J., Ryder N.S., and Ghannoum M.A. 2000 - An evaluation of the in vitro activity of Terbinafine. *Med. Mycol*, Vol. 38, P:155-159.
- [12] Garg S, Naidu J, Singh SM, Nawange SR, N. Jharia N, and Saxena M. 2006 - In vitro activity of terbinafine against Indian clinical isolates of *Candida albicans* and non-*albicans* using a macrodilution method. *Journal de Mycologie Médicale*, Vol. 16, P: 119–125.
- [13] Ryder N.S., and Wayner S. 1998 - In vitro activities of terbinafine against cutaneous isolates of *C. albicans* and other pathogenic fungi. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, Vol. 42, P:1057–1061.
- [14] Lyon J.P., Moreira L.M., Cardoso, M.A.G., Saade J., and Resende M.A.2008 - Antifungal susceptibility profile of *Candida* spp. Oral isolate obtained from denture wearers. *Braz J Microbil*, Vol. 39, P.:668-672
- [15] Hiratani T, Asagi Y., and Yamguchi H. 1991- Evaluation of in vitro antimycotic activity of terbinafine, a new allylamine agent. *Jpn J Med Mycol*, Vol. 32, p:323–332.
- [16] Ryder N.S. 1985 - Specific inhibition of fungal sterol biosynthesis by SF 86–327, a new allylamine antifungal agent. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, Vol. 27, P:252–256.
- [17] Ryder N.S., and Favre B. 1997 - Antifungal activity and mechanism of action of terbinafine. *Rev Comp Pharmacother*, Vol. 8, P:275–287.
- [18] Hazen K.C. 1998 - Fungicidal versus fungistatic activity of terbinafine and itraconazole; an in vitro comparison. *J Am Acad Dermatol*, Vol. 38, P:37–41.
- [19] Perez A. 1999 - Terbinafine: a blood new spectrums of indication in several subcutaneous and systemic and parasitic disease. *Mycoses*, Vol. 42, P:111–114.
- [20] Ryder N.S., Mieth H. 1992- Allylamine antifungal drugs. *Curr Top Med Mycol*, Vol. 4, P:158–188.



### **Susceptibility of *Candida albicans* isolates**

- [21] Gabor P., Josef G., and Hubet M. 1987 - Antifungal activity of the allylamine derivative terbinafine in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, Vol. 31, P:1365–1368.
- [22] Ryder N.S., and Dupont M.C. 1985 - Inhibition of squalene epoxidase by allylamine antimycotic compounds: a comparative study of the fungal and mammalian enzymes. *Biochem J*, Vol. 230, P: 765–770.
- [23] Ryder N.S. 1985 - Specific inhibition of fungal sterol biosynthesis by SF 86–327, a new allylamine antifungal agent. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, Vol. 27, P:252–256.
- [24] McClellan K.J., Wiseman L.R., and Markham A. 1999 - Terbinafine. An update of its use in superficial mycoses. *Drugs*, Vol. 58, No. 1, P:179-202.
- [25] Özçelik B., Balaban N., Aksaray S., Cesur S., Kaynak F., and Çayirli A. 2006 - In vitro susceptibility of *Candida* Spp. Isolated from clinical specimens against some antifungal agents. *Pharm. Sci*, Vol. 3, No.1, P: 1-6.
- [26] Citak S., Ozçelik B., Cesur S., and Abbasoğlu U. 2005 - In vitro susceptibility of *Candida* species isolated from blood culture to some antifungal agents. *Jpn J Infect Dis*, Vol. 58, No. 1, P:44-46.
- [27] Silva Mdo R., Costa M.R., Miranda A.T., Fernandes Ode F., Costa C.R., and Paula C.R. 2002 - Evaluation of Etest and macrodilution broth method for antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida* sp strains isolated from oral cavities of AIDS patients. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo*, Vol. 44, No. 3, P:121-125.
- [28] Badiie P., Alborzi A., Shakiba E., Ziyaeyan M., and Rasuli M. 2009 - Molecular identification and in-vitro susceptibility of *Candida albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* isolated from immunocompromised patients. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, Vol. 11, No. 4, P:391-397
- [29] Brito G.N., Inocência A.C., Querido S.M., Jorge A.O., and Koga-Ito C.Y. 2011 - In vitro antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* spp. oral isolates from HIV-positive patients and control individuals. *Braz Oral Res*, Vol.25, No. 1, P:28-33.
- [30] Silva V., Alvarado D., and Diaz M.C. 2004 - Antifungal susceptibility of 50 *Candida* isolates from invasive mycoses in Chile. *Med Mycol*, Vol. 42, No. 3, P:283-285.