

# Preferential Solvation of $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{CN})_2$ in Binary Aqueous Acetone and 2-Methoxyethanol Mixtures

B. F. Shraydeh\*, M. Abu-Eid, and N. Abu-Ghulwah

Chemistry Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, West Bank – Via Israel

**Summary.** Preferential solvation of *bis*-1,10-phenanthroline-*bis*-cyanoiron(II) was investigated in aqueous acetone and 2-methoxyethanol binary mixtures. The solvatochromic behaviour is discussed in terms of donor and acceptor numbers. The thermodynamic model of *Frankel* was used to treat preferential solvation in the binary aqueous 2-methoxyethanol mixtures and reveals that preferential solvation by the organic solvent occurs. The preferential solvation constant at 298.15 K was found to be equal to  $3.30 \pm 0.039$ , and the free energy of preferential solvation amounts to  $2.96 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mole}^{-1}$ .

**Keywords.** Acceptor number; Acetone; Donor number; 2-Methoxyethanol; *bis*-1,10-Phenanthroline-*bis*-cyanoiron(II); Preferential solvation; Solvent mixtures.

## Bevorzugte Solvation von $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{CN})_2$ in binären Mischungen aus Wasser und Aceton bzw. 2-Methoxyethanol

**Zusammenfassung.** Die bevorzugte Solvation von *bis*-1,10-Phenanthrolin-*bis*-cyano-eisen(II) wurde in binären wässrigen Mischungen mit Aceton bzw. 2-Methoxyethanol als organischer Komponente untersucht. Das solvatochrome Verhalten wird in Zusammenhang mit Donor- und Akzeptorzahlen diskutiert. Die theoretische Behandlung erfolgte mit Hilfe des thermodynamischen Modells von *Frankel* und zeigt, daß das organische Lösungsmittel bevorzugt solvatisiert. Die entsprechende Konstante bei 298.15 K wurde zu  $3.30 \pm 0.039$  ermittelt. Die freie Energie der bevorzugten Solvation beträgt  $2.96 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .

## Introduction

The acceptor number (AN) has been introduced to scale the electron pair accepting properties of solvents [1, 2]. Solvatochromism of ternary low spin iron(II) complexes of the  $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_2(\text{diimine})_2$  type have been recently described by various authors [3–7]. In a previous work, donor and acceptor number effects for the solvatochromism of  $\text{Fe}(\text{bipy})_2(\text{CN})_2$  in binary aqueous acetonitrile and DMF have been discussed [6]. In this investigation, these effects are discussed for the solvatochromism of the title complex in binary aqueous acetone and 2-methoxyethanol mixtures. Furthermore and to highlight preferential solvation effects, the thermodynamic model of *Frankel* [8] was applied for the 2-methoxyethanol-water mixtures. This model is based upon the hypothesis that if the solvation shell is made up of

independent sites which are always occupied, then the solvent molecules will be distributed between the bulk solvent and the solvation shell of the solute according to equation 1.

$$K = \frac{x_A/x_B}{y_A/y_B} \quad 1$$

Here,  $x_A$  and  $x_B$  represent the mole fractions of components A and B in the solvation shell, and  $y_A$  and  $y_B$  refer to the same quantity in the bulk solvent. According to this equation, the plot of  $x_A/x_B$  vs.  $y_A/y_B$  will give a straight line of slope  $K$  which represents the preferential solvation constant. The composition of the solvation shell ( $x_A/x_B$ ) can be determined from the intercept of the horizontal line with the diagonal from  $y_A = 0$  to  $y_B = 1$  as in a typical phase diagram procedure. This method proved to be satisfactory and was thus applied in our study.

## Results and Discussion


Table 1 lists the wave numbers, mole fractions, and acceptor numbers (AN) for binary aqueous mixtures of acetone and 2-methoxyethanol. The acceptor numbers for the acetone mixtures were obtained from Ref. [9]. Table 1 demonstrates that the energy increases with increasing AN and that the cyanide ligand is a specific site of solvation. A solvent of large AN decreases the electron density at the cyanide ligands and increases  $\pi$ -back bonding with the metal ion. Consequently, the energy separation between the metal ion and the ligand phenanthroline is increased. Figs. 1 and 3 show that the plots of energy vs. bulk solvent compositions are non-linear

**Table 1.** Acceptor number and wave numbers of maximum absorption ( $\nu_{\max}$ ) for the lowest energy transfer band of  $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{CN})_2$  at various mole fractions of acetone ( $x_A$ )

$x_A$	$\nu_{\max}(\text{cm}^{-1})$	AN
0.00	19305	54.8
0.03	19084	53.6
0.06	18904	52.6
0.10	18762	50.6
0.14	18519	48.6
0.20	18315	46.7
0.27	18182	44.3
0.31	18116	42.4
0.36	18050	42.3
0.49	17521	39.2
0.69	17606	33.4
0.77	17422	31.0
0.87	17123	27.3
0.93	16778	22.8
1.00	16026	12.5


**Table 2.** Wave numbers of maximum absorption ( $\nu_{\max}$ ) for the lowest energy transfer band of  $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{CN})_2$  at various mole fractions of 2-methoxyethanol ( $x_M$ )

$x_M$	$\nu_{\max}(\text{cm}^{-1})$
0.00	19305
0.02	19157
0.05	19939
0.10	18757
0.13	18657
0.19	18484
0.25	18315
0.35	18149
0.48	17986
0.68	17762
0.76	17699
0.86	17606
0.93	17575
1.00	17544




**Fig. 1.** Relation between  $\nu(\text{MLCT})$  values for  $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2\text{-(CN)}_2$  and mole fraction of acetone in various binary mixtures; † = isosolvation point


for both solvents, suggesting preferential solvation. The extent of preferential solvation may be described by the position of the isosolvation point [10]. These points occur at a mole fraction of 0.73 for acetone ( $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{iso}} = 0.27$ ) and at a mole fraction of 0.23 for 2-methoxyethanol ( $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{iso}} = 0.77$ ). The values indicate that preferential solvation occurs by water in the case of acetone and by the organic solvent in the case of 2-methoxyethanol. Also, in water-acetone the frequency plot (Fig. 1) shows two distinct regions. The nonlinearity of the plot of energy vs. AN (Fig. 2) indicates that the spectra of complexes reflect the solvation shell rather than the bulk solvent. Water should be more easily transferred from the bulk solvent to the complex



**Fig. 2.** Relation between  $\nu(\text{MLCT})$  values for  $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2\text{-(CN)}_2$  and acceptor number for various binary aqueous mixtures of acetone



**Fig. 3.** Tie lines construction from the frequency plot for equilibrium between bulk and solvation phases at 298.15 K for  $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{CN})_2$  in the 2-methoxyethanol-water system; † = isosolvation point



**Fig. 4.** Preferential solvation plot (phase ratio  $x_M/x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  vs. bulk phase ratio  $y_M/y_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ ) for  $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{CN})_2$  at 298.15 K in the 2-methoxyethanol-water system

solvation shell at low molar fractions of water. However, at high water concentrations, acetone becomes more available to enter the solvation shell because of the possibility that water molecules form polymeric structures. 2-methoxyethanol, having a relatively high isosolvation point ( $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{iso}} = 0.77$ ), is expected to be a solvent of high donicity (DN). This can be seen from the linear relationship between isosolvation points and donicity [9]. Thus, 2-methoxyethanol being much more nucleophilic than water, channels electron density onto the metal atom through the cyanide ligands. This effect will stabilize the ground state energy of the  $t_{2g}$  electronic level and the frequencies shift to lower energies (Table 2). To elaborate and characterize preferential solvation in the case of 2-methoxyethanol, the model of

**Table 3.** Ratio of mole fractions of bulk ( $y$ ) and solvation ( $x$ ) phases at equilibrium at 298.15 K for the solvatochromic behaviour of  $\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{CN})_2$  in the binary 2-methoxyethanol-water system

$y_M/y_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	$x_M/x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$
0.03	0.16
0.11	0.47
0.25	0.96
0.30	1.13
0.41	1.56
1.22	4.00
1.86	6.14
3.00	10.11

Frankel [8] was used. As stated in the introduction, for a given solvent composition  $y_A$ , the measured frequency corresponds to an effective concentration  $x_A$  to be determined at the intercept of the horizontal line with the diagonal from  $y_A = 0$  to  $y_A = 1$  as in a typical phase diagram procedure. Table 3 lists all the solvent compositions in the bulk and solvation shells, determined as in the above procedure. Figure 4 shows that the plot of  $x_A/x_B$  vs.  $y_A/y_B$  is linear and the preferential solvation constant is found from the slope of this linear plot to be  $K = 3.30 \pm 0.039$ . This corresponds to a free energy of preferential solvation of  $2.96 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mole}^{-1}$  at 298.15 K.

## Experimental

The solvents acetone and 2-methoxyethanol were of analytical grade, doubly distilled water was used in the preparation of the various mixtures. The title complex  $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_2(\text{phen})_2$  (Aldrich) was used as purchased. Visible absorption spectra were run on a Univam SP 800 A spectrophotometer, equipped with a thermostatic attachment and using a cell of path length 1 cm.

## References

- [1] Mayer U., Gutmann V., Gerger W. (1975) *Mh. Chem.* **106**: 1235
- [2] Gutmann V. (1976) *Electrochim. Acta* **21**: 661
- [3] Burgess J., Redulovic S., Sanchez F. (1987) *Transition Met. Chem.* **12**: 529
- [4] Gutmann V., Resch G. (1988) *Mh. Chem.* **119**: 1251
- [5] Al Alousy A., Burgess J. (1990) *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **169**: 167
- [6] Shraydeh B., Zatar N. (1994) *Mh. Chem.* **125**: 655
- [7] Shraydeh B., Salih S. (1994) *Spect. Lett.* **27**(3): 333
- [8] Frankel L. S., Langford C. H., Stengle T. R. (1970) *J. Phys. Chem.* **74**(6): 1376
- [9] Mayer U., Gerger W., Gutmann V. (1977) *Mh. Chem.* **108**: 489
- [10] Frankel L. S., Stengle T. R., Langford C. H. (1965) *Chem. Comm.* 393