# An Exact Envelope Correlation Formula for Two-Antenna Systems Using Input Scattering Parameters and Including Power Losses

Y.A.S Dama<sup>1</sup>, R.A.Abd-Alhameed<sup>1</sup>, S.M.R Jones<sup>1</sup> J.M. Noras<sup>1</sup> and N.T.Ali<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract** – The calculation of the envelope correlation for a two antenna element system in terms of the system's scattering parameters is modified to include power losses. This new expression should reduce the complexity in predicting the spatial envelope correlation, and simplify antenna design where a low envelope correlation is required. This represents a major simplification with respect to the conventional use of the radiation field patterns of the antennas. The accuracy of the technique is illustrated by two examples. **Copyright** © **2010 Praise Worthy Prize S.r.l. - All rights reserved.** 

Keywords: Antenna Diversity, Radiation Power, Envelope Correlation, Scattering Parameters

### Nomenclature

 $\rho_e$  Spatial envelope correlation.

 $d\Omega$  Differential spherical area.

 $F_{\theta}(\theta, \phi)$  Radiation field in  $\hat{e}_{\theta}$  direction.

 $F_{\phi}(\theta,\phi)$  Radiation field in  $\hat{e}_{\phi}$  direction.

MIMO Multiple Input Multiple Output.

 $P_{total}$  Total power.

 $P_{rad}$  Radiated power.

 $P_{loss}$  Power loss.

<sup>†</sup> Hermitian transpose.

a Incident wave.

b Reflected wave .

 $Js(\theta, l)$  Surface current density distribution .

 $J_{Si}$  The total currents on structures on the  $i^{th}$  antenna structures.

 $a_i$  The incident wave on the  $i^{th}$  antenna structures.

 $J_{S1}^1, J_{S1}^2$  The normalised currents on structures 1 and 2 due to the incident wave  $a_1$ .

 $J_{S2}^1$  and  $J_{S2}^2$  The normalised currents on structures 1 and 2 due to the incident wave  $a_2$ .

 $P_{li}$  The power loss on the  $i^{th}$  antenna structures.

L The losses matrix.

R Is 2x2 radiation matrix.

 $D_i$  The maximum directivity of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  antenna.

 $D_i$  A lambed resistive element.

 $\lambda$  The wavelength.

 $\sigma$  Surface conductivity.

## I. Introduction

Mobile communication systems where there is only one antenna at both the transmitter and the receiver are known as Single Input Single Output (SISO) systems. SISO system capacity is limited by the Shannon Nyquist criterion [1]. In order to increase the capacity of SISO systems to meet the high bit rate transmissions demanded by modern mobile communications, the bandwidth and/or the power have to increase significantly.

Fortunately, using MIMO systems (Multiple Input, Multiple Output) has the potential to increase the capacity of the wireless system without the need to increase the transmission power or the bandwidth [2]. On the other hand, mutual coupling between the antennas degrades the diversity performance of an antenna system; therefore designers try to minimize the mutual coupling of the antenna system whilst maintaining the matching requirements.

MIMO systems are required to deliver maximum capacity with minimum bit error rate (BER). They can exploit diversity, spatial multiplexing or beam forming and steering techniques, including null steering for interference Diversity rejection. gain requires independent or complementary fading at each antenna element and optimum spacing will depend on the angle of arrival spectrum in the multipath channel. Other techniques will benefit from an array radiation pattern that has high gain, a narrow beamwidth and low side or grating lobes in order to be able to resolve paths that are closely spaced in angle.

But it is challenging to implement multiple antennas in a very small volume such as mobile handsets, PDA's and laptops; therefore the spatial correlation properties of different antenna elements in the array should be considered since this will affect the MIMO channel capacity. In mobile communication the antenna spacing is usually small, thus the impact of the mutual coupling will be not negligible. Mutual coupling increases the spatial correlation between the array elements. Also, it deforms the radiation pattern of each array element, which affects the diversity gain.

A generalized analysis of signal correlation between any two array elements including non-identical elements and arbitrary load termination of passive antenna ports was presented in [3]. The method is related to the power balance concept and is based on the antenna impedance matrix. In [5] theoretical and simulation studies have been conducted to explain the experimentally observed effect that the correlation between signals of closely spaced antennas is smaller than that predicted using the well known theoretical methods. A simple expression to compute the correlation coefficients from the far field pattern including the propagation environment characteristics and the terminating impedance was introduced in [5].

Three different methods are used to calculate the antenna correlation. The first method is based on the far field pattern [6], the second is based on the scattering parameters at the antennas terminals [7] and the third method is based on Clarke's formula [8]. Calculating correlation using the radiation field pattern of the antenna system is a time consuming method, whether it is done by simulation or using experimental data.

A simple method for the computation of the envelope correlation for two antenna elements using scattering parameters was presented in [7]. This method avoids intensive computations using the radiation field patterns of the antenna system, and may be straightforwardly generalized to the envelope correlation of an N-antenna system [9]. This formulation has been widely adopted in discussing antenna diversity issues [10, 11]. However, the computations in [7] and [9] do not include the power losses in the antenna structures. This accounts for the discrepancy between the envelope correlation results obtained by this method, from that computed directly from the radiation field patterns of the two antenna elements [12]:

$$\rho_{e} = \frac{\left| \iint_{4\pi} d\Omega F_{1}(\theta, \phi) . d\Omega F_{2}(\theta, \phi) \right|^{2}}{\iint_{4\pi} d\Omega |F_{1}(\theta, \phi)|^{2} \iint_{4\pi} d\Omega |F_{2}(\theta, \phi)|^{2}}$$
(1)

where  $F_i(\theta, \phi) = F_{\theta}^i(\theta, \phi) \hat{e}_{\theta} + F_{\phi}^i(\theta, \phi) \hat{e}_{\phi}$  is the radiation field of the *i*<sup>th</sup> antenna.

In practice it is required to identify envelope correlation between any two sensors in a given array. The correlation is sensitive to the intrinsic power losses in the radiating elements; the methodologies reported in [7] and [9] are based on ideal passive structures, i.e. without losses. Hallbjorner has presented a useful analysis on the effect of antenna efficiency on spatial

Manuscript received and revised January 2012, accepted February 2012

correlation estimates [12]. The analysis presented below provides an operational method, with a clear physical basis, for explicitly incorporating the intrinsic (Ohmic) losses into the estimation of the spatial correlation, using the scattering representation for a multi-beam array [13].

The calculation of the envelope correlation for a (NxN) MIMO antenna array in terms of the system's scattering parameters is modified to include power losses [14]. This represents a major simplification with respect to the conventional use of the radiation field patterns of the antennas.

In this paper, a simplified calculation of the envelope correlation in (1) for a lossy two antenna system was intensively evaluated in terms of the scattering parameters and the intrinsic power losses of the antenna structures. The power loss is presented in a matrix formulation, in order to match the presentation in [7,9,14,15]. Two new illustrative examples are presented and discussed to show the contribution of the proposed method.

#### I. Format of Manuscript

Consider the electromagnetic geometry suggested by Figure 1, the total power is given by:

$$P_{total} = P_{rad} + P_{loss} \tag{2}$$

where  $P_{rad}$  and  $P_{loss}$  are the total radiated and loss power respectively;  $P_{tot}$  is sometimes called the accepted power, and may be computed in terms of the incident waves by  $\left(a^{\dagger}a - b^{\dagger}b\right)$ , where  $^{\dagger}$  denotes the Hermitian transpose.

The analysis developed below and the subsequent case studies shown in Figure 2, have been presented for convenience in a wire antenna formulation and the solved using NEC. It should be understood that the underlying concepts are fully general, and can be readily rewritten in terms of general surface and volume currents.

The surface current density distributed on a radiating wire of radius r can be written as;

$$Js(\theta, l) = \frac{J_s(\theta, l)}{2\pi r} a_l \approx \frac{J_s(l)}{2\pi r} a_l$$
(3)

The power loss may be computed in terms of the surface currents on the antenna structures as follows. These currents may be expressed in terms of the incident waves  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ :

$$J_{S1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_S}} \left( a_1 \cdot \frac{J_{S1}^1(l)}{2\pi r} \hat{a}_l + a_2 \cdot \frac{J_{S1}^2(l)}{2\pi r} \hat{a}_l \right)$$
(4)

$$J_{S2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_S}} \left( a_1 \cdot \frac{J_{S2}^1(l)}{2\pi r} \hat{a}_l + a_2 \cdot \frac{J_{S2}^2(l)}{2\pi r} \hat{a}_l \right)$$
(5)

Copyright © 2012 Praise Worthy Prize S.r.l -All rights reserved

 $J_{S1}$  and  $J_{S2}$  are the total currents on structures 1 and 2, respectively,  $J_{S1}^1$  and  $J_{S1}^2$  are the normalised currents on structures 1 and 2 due to the incident wave  $a_1$ , similarly  $J_{S2}^1$  and  $J_{S2}^2$  are due to the incident wave  $a_2$ ,  $R_S$  is the surface impedance of the antennas. Thus, the power loss on structures 1 and 2 can be expressed by (6) and (7), respectively:

$$P_{l1} = \iint \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}J_{S1}^{1}(l) + a_{2}J_{S1}^{2}(l) \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$
(6)  
$$(a_{1}J_{S1}^{1}(l) + a_{2}J_{S1}^{2}(l) \end{pmatrix}^{*} d\theta dl$$
$$P_{l2} = \iint \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}J_{S2}^{1}(l) + a_{2}J_{S2}^{2}(l) \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$
(7)  
$$(a_{1}J_{S2}^{1}(l) + a_{2}J_{S2}^{2}(l) \end{pmatrix}^{*} d\theta dl$$

Expanding these expressions gives,

$$P_{l1} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |a_1|^2 J_{S1}^1(l) J_{S1}^{1*}(l) + a_1 a_2^* J_{S1}^1(l) J_{S1}^{2*}(l) + \dots$$
(8)  
$$\dots a_2 a_1^* J_{S1}^2(l) J_{S1}^{2*}(l) + |a_2|^2 J_{S1}^2(l) J_{S1}^{2*}(l) dl$$
$$P_{l2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |a_1|^2 J_{S2}^1(l) J_{S2}^{1*}(l) + a_1 a_2^* J_{S2}^1(l) J_{S2}^{2*}(l) + \dots$$
(9)

$$...a_{2}a_{1}^{*}J_{S2}^{2}(l)J_{S2}^{2*}(l) + |a_{2}|^{2}J_{S2}^{2}(l)J_{S2}^{2*}(l)dl$$

Hence, (8) and (9) can be expressed in the matrix notation as,

$$P_{loss} = (a, La) = (a^{\dagger} La)$$
(10)

where,

$$L = L' + L'' = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{L_{11}} & \dot{L_{12}} \\ \dot{L_{21}} & \dot{L_{22}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} L_{11}'' & L_{12}'' \\ L_{21}'' & L_{22}'' \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

The elements  $L'_{ij}$  may be read across as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int J_{S1}^{1}(l) J_{S1}^{1*}(l) dl & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int J_{S1}^{1}(l) J_{S1}^{2*}(l) dl \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int J_{S1}^{2}(l) J_{S1}^{2*}(l) dl & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int J_{S1}^{2}(l) J_{S1}^{2*}(l) dl \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

and similarly for  $L_{ij}^{''}$ . From the properties of the Hermitian inner product, it follows that  $L_{ii} = L_{ii}^{*}$ .

Equation (2) can now be expressed in terms of the incident waves  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

$$a^{\dagger} \left( 1 - S^{\dagger} S \right) a = \left( a^{\dagger} L a \right) + \left( a^{\dagger} R a \right)$$
<sup>(13)</sup>

where the scattering matrix S of the two-antenna system, includes the power loss. R is the  $2\times 2$  matrix defined in [7] as,

Manuscript received and revised January 2012, accepted February 2012

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{D_1}{4\pi} \iint_{4\pi} d\Omega |F_1(\theta,\phi)|^2 & \frac{\sqrt{D_1 D_2}}{4\pi} \iint_{4\pi} d\Omega F_1(\theta,\phi) \cdot F_2^*(\theta,\phi) \\ \frac{\sqrt{D_2 D_1}}{4\pi} \iint_{4\pi} d\Omega F_2(\theta,\phi) \cdot F_1^*(\theta,\phi) & \frac{D_2}{4\pi} \iint_{4\pi} d\Omega |F_2(\theta,\phi)|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(14)

Where  $D_i$  is the maximum directivity of the  $i^{th}$  antenna. Therefore, from (13) the equivalent elements of (14) can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{D_1}{4\pi} \iint_{4\pi} d\Omega |F_1(\theta,\phi)|^2 = 1 - \left(1 - |S_{11}|^2 + |S_{21}|^2\right) - \left(L_{11}' + L_{11}''\right)$$
(15)

$$\frac{\sqrt{D_1 D_2}}{4\pi} \iint d\Omega F_1(\theta, \phi) \cdot F_2(\theta, \phi) = -\left(S_{11}^* S_{21} + S_{21}^* S_{22}\right)$$
.....--( $\vec{L}_{12} + \vec{L}_{12}$ )
(10)

Thus, the envelope correlation for the two-antenna system geometry (Fig. 1) can be expressed in terms of the scattering parameters, and the intrinsic power losses, as in (17).





#### **II.** Simulation and Results

In order to verify (17), the envelope correlation has been computed for two parallel half-wavelength wire dipoles in free space, as a function of their separation. The antenna far fields and scattering parameters were obtained using NEC [8]. The wire radius of each dipole was set at 0.002 wavelengths.

Two different sources of loss were considered for the purpose of validation. In the first instance, both dipoles were loaded by two lumped resistive loads, each of  $25\Omega$ , as in Fig. 2. Secondly, the dipoles were loaded by surface conductivity along the antenna geometry.

Copyright © 2012 Praise Worthy Prize S.r.l -All rights reserved

$$\rho_{e} = \frac{\left|1 - \left(S_{11}^{*}S_{12} + S_{21}^{*}S_{22}\right) - \left(\dot{L}_{12} + \ddot{L}_{12}^{*}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left\{1 - \left(\left|S_{11}\right|^{2} + \left|S_{21}\right|^{2}\right) - \left(\dot{L}_{11} + \ddot{L}_{11}^{*}\right)\right\} \left\{1 - \left(\left|S_{22}\right|^{2} + \left|S_{12}\right|^{2}\right) - \left(\dot{L}_{22} + \ddot{L}_{22}^{*}\right)\right\}}$$
(17)



Fig. 2. Examples under test; (left: antennas loaded by lumped resistive loads, right: antennas loaded by surface conductivity)

Variations between the proposed method and the lossless approach were checked by simulation. The spatial envelope correlation calculated using the far field parameters, versus the dipole separation distance, are given in Fig. 3 for both lossless and lossy cases. There is good agreement between the proposed method and the results calculated from (1) for the lossy case. The envelope correlation for dipole separations less than 0.5 wavelengths can take values bigger than the achieved  $S_{21}$  values. It is also interesting to note that the nulls of the envelope correlation are shifted compared with those obtained for the lossless approach.



two half wavelength dipoles against their separated distance.

Figures 4 and 5 illustrate variations in the spatial envelope correlation, versus the lumped resistive loads, and surface conductivities, respectively.



Fig. 4. Envelope correlation and S-parameters for two half wavelength dipoles against the lumped resistive loads shown in Fig. 2.

The dipole separation was kept constant at 0.5 wavelengths. These envelope correlation results prove the concept of the proposed method, i.e. equation (17), against those obtained from the far field patterns. It can be seen in fig. 4 that the envelope correlation values closely approach the  $S_{21}$  values as the load is reduced. For the surface conductivity case, they are much closer for small wire conductivity values.



Fig.5. Envelope correlation and S-parameters for two half wavelength dipoles against the surface electric conductivity on both dipoles.

In summary, the analysis described here, based on the conceptual framework assumed by equation (17), provides a direct and accurate forecast of spatial

Manuscript received and revised January 2012, accepted February 2012

envelope correlation, as compared with the far field analysis in equation (1).

It should be noted that several empirical approaches exist for the direct measurement of the radiation efficiency of passive antennas. These include radiometry [17, 18], random field analysis [19] and reverberation chamber techniques [20], and when applied to multi-port passive structures they can provide an independent check on the diagonal terms in the L-matrix (i.e. equation (11)). Such practical implementations will be considered for future developments of this work.

#### **III.** Conclusions

A method of calculation, for the spatial envelope correlation of a two-antenna system, which includes losses, using the system scattering parameters has been presented. This new expression should reduce the complexity in predicting the spatial envelope correlation, and simplify antenna design where a low envelope correlation is required. Two validation examples were presented, which demonstrate good agreement between the proposed method, and the explicit calculation using far field pattern data. Two examples have been presented to validate the technique. The results have shown close agreement between the proposed method and the full computation using the far field pattern data.

Practical implementations for the direct measurement of the radiation efficiency of passive antennas will be the challenge for the future work.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Pace PLC (Saltaire, West Yorkshire, BD18 3LF) for their financial support of the Knowledge Transfer Partnership (KTP No: 7277).

#### References

- G. J. Foschini and M. J. Gans, On Limits of Wireless Communications in a Fading Environment when UsingMultiple Antennas, Wirel. Pers. Commun., vol. 6, pp. 311-335, 1998.
- [2] J.G. Proakis, Digital Communications New York: McGraw-Hill, 1989.
- [3] A. Derneryd and G. Kristensson. Antenna signal correlation and its relation to impedance matrix, Electronics Letter, Vol. 40, No.7, 1<sup>st</sup> April 2004.
- [4] P. S. H Leather and D. Parsons. Antenna diversity for UHF handportable radio, Electronics Letters, Vol.39, No. 13, 26<sup>th</sup> June 2003
- [5] A. Derneryd and G. Kristensson. Signal correlation including antenna coupling, Electronics letters, Vol.40, No.3, 5<sup>th</sup> February 2004.
- [6] G. Lebru, S. Spiteri, and M. Falkner. MIMO complexity reduction through antenna selection, Proc Australian Telecomm Cooperative Res. Center, ANNAC 03,2002, P.5.
- [7] S. Blanch, J. Romeu and I. Corbella, Exact representation of antennas system diversity performance from input parameter description, Electronics Letters, vol. 39, No. 9, 1<sup>st</sup> May 2003, pp. 705-707.
- [8] R.H.Clarke. A statistical theory of mobile reception, Bell system Tech, 1968, 957-1000.

Manuscript received and revised January 2012, accepted February 2012

- [9] J. Thaysen, and K. B. Jakobsen, Envelope correlation in (N, N) MIMO antenna array from scattering parameters, Microwave and Optical Technology Letters, Vol. 48, No. 5, May 2006, pp. 832-834.
- [10] H. L. Zhang, Z. H. Wang, J. W. Yu, and J. Huang, A Compact MIMO Antenna for Wireless Communication, IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, vol. 50, No. 6, Dec. 2008, pp. 104-107.
- [11] T. S. P. See and Z. N. Chen, An Ultrawideband Diversity Antenna, IEEE Trans on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 57, No. 6, June 2009, pp. 1957-1605.
- [12] P. Hallbjorner, The significance of radiation efficiencies when using S-parameters to calculate the received signal correlation from two antennas, Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, IEEE, vol. 4, pp. 97-99, 2005.
- [13] S. Stein, On cross coupling in multiple-beam antennas, Antennas and Propagation, IRE Transactions on, vol. 10, pp. 548-557, 1962.
- [14] R.G. Vaughan and J.B. Andersen, Antenna diversity in mobile communications, IEEE Trans Veh. Technology, 36, 1987, pp. 149-172.
- [15] Y. A. S. Dama, R. A. Abd-Alhameed, S. M. R. Jones, et al., An Envelope Correlation Formula for (N,N) MIMO Antenna Arrays Using Input Scattering Parameters, and Including Power Losses, International Journal of Antennas and Propagation, vol. 2011, Article ID 421691, 7 pages, 2011. doi:10.1155/2011/421691.
- [16] Y. A. S. Dama, R. A. Abd-Alhameed, D. Zhou, S. M. R. Jones, M. B. Child, and P. S. Excell, Calculation of the spatial envelope correlation between two antennas in terms of the system scattering parameters including conducting losses, in Antennas and Propagation Conference (LAPC), 2010 Loughborough, 2010, pp. 513-516
- [17] G.L. Burke and A.J. Poggio, Numerical Electromagnetics Code (NEC)-Method of Moments, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Livermore, CA, 1981.
- [18] N. J. McEwan, R. A. Abd-Alhameed, and M. N. Z. Abidin, A modified radiometric method for measuring antenna radiation efficiency, Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 51, pp. 2099-2105, 2003.
- [19] W.L. Schroeder and D. Gapski, Direct measurement of small antenna radiation efficiency by a calorimetric method, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, 54, 9, 2646-2656, 2006.
- [20] C. Qiang, H. Yoshioka, K. Igari, and K. Sawaya, Comparison of experimental methods for measuring radiation efficiency of antennas for portable telephones, in Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium, 1998. IEEE, 1998, vol.1, pp. 149-152
- [21] K. Rosengren and P. S. Kildal, Radiation efficiency, correlation, diversity gain and capacity of a six-monopole antenna array for a MIMO system: theory, simulation and measurement in reverberation chamber, Microwaves, Antennas and Propagation, IEE Proceedings -, vol. 152, pp. 7-16, 2005.

#### Authors' information

<sup>1</sup>Mobile and Satellite Communications Research Centre, University of Bradford, Bradford, West Yorkshire, BD7 1DP, UK

<sup>2</sup>Khalifa University, Sharjah, P.O.Box 573, UAE



Yousef Dama received B.S. degree in electrical engineering from An-Najah national University, Palestine, in 2005 and M.S.c degree in personal mobile and satellite communications from University of Bradford, UK, in 2006. He has been a research student with the Mobile and Satellite communications research centre since 2009 where he is

currently working toward Ph.D degree, he contributed to several

Copyright © 2012 Praise Worthy Prize S.r.l -All rights reserved

referred conference papers and academic journals. His research focus includes OFDM, MIMO, Space Time Coding, mutual coupling and channel propagation.

Mr. Dama has been a member of the Jordanian engineering association since 2005.



**Prof. Raed Abd-Alhameed** is a Professor of Electromagnetic and Radio Frequency (RF) Engineering in the School of Engineering, Design and Technology at the University of Bradford, UK. He has over 20 years' research experience in RF designs, antennas and electromagnetic computational techniques and has published over 400 academic

journals and referred conference papers. In particular his work has produced highly realistic analysis of RF and antennas design processes in the presence of large multi-layer scatterers, using the Bradford developed hybrid modeling techniques. He has led an EPSRC funded project "Multi-Band Balanced Antennas with Enhanced Stability and Performance for Mobile Handsets" and is also involved with several funded projects including nonlinear demodulation in biological tissue and assessment of effects of cellular phones on the nervous system. In addition, he has managed four KTP projects that focused on advanced RF and antenna designs and so has experience in working closely with industry. He is the chair of several successful workshops on Energy Efficient and Reconfigurable Transceivers (EERT): Approach towards Energy Conservation and CO2 Reduction that addresses the biggest challenges for the future wireless systems. His current research interests include hybrid electromagnetic computational techniques, EMC, antenna design, low SAR antennas for mobile handset, bioelectromagnetics, RF mixers, active antennas, beam steering antennas, MIMO antennas, Energy efficient PAs, RF predistorter design including biological cell modelling for breast cancer applications. He is the Fellow of the Institution of Engineering and Technology, Fellow of Higher Education Academy and a Chartered Engineer.



**Steve Jones** is a lecturer in Telecommunications and is Director of Studies for programmes in Electronics and Telecommunications in the School of Engineering, Design and Technology at the University of Bradford. Since joining the University in 1987, he has worked on a wide variety of projects in the area of satellite slant-

path propagation (e.g. 10 GHz bistatic-scatter, 11/14 GHz scintillation and ice depolarization with Olympus) and mobile radio propagation (notably Mobile VCE and TEAMS projects). He served as an Associate Editor for the IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 2004-8. Recently, he has worked on multiple-antenna technologies, signal processing and propagation modelling for broadband wireless access systems.



**Dr. James M Noras** is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Engineering, Design and Technology at the University of Bradford, UK. He has published 50 journal papers and 85 conference papers, in fundamental semiconductor Physics, analogue and digital circuit design, digital signal processing and RF system design and evaluation. He is the

director of five internationally franchised BEng and MSc Courses in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, has successfully supervised 18 PhD students, and is currently supervising the research of 3 PhD students. His main research interests are now in digital system design and implementation, DSP and coding for communication systems, and localisation algorithms for mobile systems. He is a Member of the Institute of Physics and a Chartered Physicist.



**Dr Nazar T Ali** is an associate professor in the department of electronics at Khalifa University in the United Arab Emirates. He received his BSc degree in electrical and electronic engineering from the University of Mosul, Iraq, in 1984, and his PhD degree in the characterization and modeling of optoelectronic devices from the University of Bradford, UK, in 1990. He worked on many

projects related to industry including UK-DTI and EPSRC funded projects. He has about 70 journals and refereed international conferences. His current research interests include design of analog CMOS integrated circuits, MIMO and various antenna structures and linearity problems associated with OFDM-based systems.